arXiv:1606.01605v1 [math.NT] 6 Jun 2016

NOTE ON THE INDEX CONJECTURE IN ZERO-SUM THEORY AND ITS CONNECTION TO A DEDEKIND-TYPE SUM

FAN GE

ABSTRACT. Let $S = (a_1) \cdots (a_k)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over a finite cyclic group G. The index conjecture states that if $k = 4$ and $\gcd(|G|, 6) = 1$, then S has index 1. In this note we study the index conjecture and connect it to a Dedekind-type sum. In particular we reprove a special case of the conjecture when $|G|$ is prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper let G be a finite cyclic group of order n, written additively. By a *sequence S of length k over G* we mean a sequence with k elements, each of which is in G. We write $(a_1) \cdots (a_k)$ for such a sequence, rather than a_1, ..., a_k. A sequence S is said to be a *zero-sum* sequence if $\sum_i a_i = 0$. It is a *minimal* zero-sum sequence if it is a zero-sum sequence but no proper nontrivial subsequence of it is. Given any g a generator of G, we can write $S = (x_1 g) \cdots (x_k g)$ for some natural numbers $x_1, \ldots, x_k$, where by $x_i g$ we mean the sum $g + g + \cdots + g$ with x_i terms. We also require the following definition.

Definition 1.1. For a sequence over G

$$S = (x_1 g) \cdots (x_k g), \qquad \text{where } 1 \leq x_1, ..., x_k \leq n\,,$$

define the g-norm of S to be $\|S\|_g = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i}{n}$. The *index* of S is defined by

$$\text{ind}(S) = \min \|S\|_g,$$

where the minimum is taken over all generators g of G.

The index of a sequence is a crucial invariant in the theory of zero-sum sequences over cyclic groups. It was introduced by Kleitman and Lemke [8] and then became an important tool in the study of zero-sum sequences and related topics (see, for example, Geroldinger [3] and Gao [2]). An important question is to determine the pairs (k, n) for which every minimal zero-sum sequence S of length k over G has index 1. The cases $k \neq 4$ or $\gcd(n, 6) \neq 1$ have been settled (see [4], [11], [13], [19]). Hence, the only remaining case is when $k = 4$ and, at the same time, $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$. The following conjecture is widely held.

Conjecture 1. *Let G be a finite cyclic group such that $\gcd(|G|, 6) = 1$. Then every minimal zero-sum sequence S over G of length 4 has* ind*$(S) = 1$.*

This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1200582.

Remark 1. One can show that, for a minimal zero-sum sequence S of length 4 over G, we have either $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$ or $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 2$, and moreover, $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 2$ if and only if $\|S\|_g = 2$ for all generators g of G. This is because for such S we have by definition that $\|S\|_g$ is equal to 1, 2, or 3; but if $\|S\|_g = 3$ for some generator g, then it is easy to see that $\|S\|_{-g} = 1$, where $-g$ is also a generator.

In [10], Y. Li et al. proved that Conjecture 1 is true for when n is a prime power. Later it was proved for the case when n has two distinct prime factors (see [9] and [18]). We also have the following contribution of Shen, Xia and Li [15].

Theorem 2. *Let $G = \langle g\rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order $|G| = n$ such that $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$. Let $S = (x_1g)(x_2g)(x_3g)(x_4g)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G, where $\gcd(n, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1$, and for some $i \in [1, 4]$ we have $\gcd(n, x_i) > 1$. Then $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 1$.*

The purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of Conjecture 1 in the case when n is a prime and at the same time to point out a connection between the conjecture and the theory of Dedekind sums.

Recall that the classical Dedekind sum $s(h, k)$, where $k \geq 1$ and $\gcd(h, k) = 1$, is defined as

$$s(h,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{r}{k}\Big(\frac{hr}{k} - \Big\lfloor \frac{hr}{k}\Big\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\Big).$$

This has various natural generalizations (for example, see [20] and [12]). Here we shall consider another Dedekind-type sum. For $k \geq 1$ and $\gcd(h, k) = 1$ let

$$t(h,k) = \sum_{\substack{1\leq r\leq k\\(r,k)=1}} \frac{r}{k}\Big(\frac{hr}{k} - \Big\lfloor \frac{hr}{k}\Big\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\Big).$$

Clearly $t(h, k)$ can be expressed in terms of classical Dedekind sums using Möbius convolution. In this note we connect the index conjecture with $t(h, k)$.

Theorem 3. (i) *Suppose that n is the smallest integer for which Conjecture 1 fails. Let $S = (x_1)(x_2)(x_3)(x_4)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ with $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 2$. Then we have $\gcd(n, x_i) = 1$ for all i.*

(ii) *Let n be a positive integer for which Conjecture 1 fails, and let $S = (x_1)(x_2)(x_3)(x_4)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over $\mathbb{Z}/n$ with $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 2$. If $\gcd(n, x_i) = 1$ for all i, then we have*

$$t(\overline{x_{\sigma(1)}}\, x_{\sigma(2)}, n) = t(\overline{x_{\sigma(3)}}\, x_{\sigma(4)}, n) \tag{1}$$

for any permutation σ of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where $\overline{x}$ denotes the inverse of x in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$.

Corollary 4. *Conjecture 1 is true if G has prime order.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3 AND COROLLARY 4

For integers x and $y > 0$, let $(x)_y$ denote the least nonnegative residue of x mod y. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}/y$, we may view z as an integer and define $(z)_y$ similarly.

Lemma 5. *Let $S = (x_1)(x_2)(x_3)(x_4)$ be a sequence over $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$. Given any generator g in G, write $S = (y_1g)(y_2g)(y_3g)(y_4g)$ for $1 \le y_i \le n$. Then we have $y_i = (g^{-1}x_i)_n$ for $i = 1, ..., 4$, where g^{-1} is the inverse of g in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$.*

Proof. For any $i = 1, ..., 4$, we have $x_i = y_ig$ in G. Hence $x_ig^{-1} = y_i$ in G. Therefore, $y_i = (g^{-1}x_i)_n$.

Proof of Theorem 3: (i) Suppose that n is the smallest positive integer for which Conjecture 1 fails, and $S = (x_1)(x_2)(x_3)(x_4)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence over $\mathbb{Z}/n$ with ind(S)=2. In view of Theorem 2 we know that either $\gcd(n, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) > 1$, or $\gcd(n, x_i) = 1$ for all i. We will show that the first case does not happen.

Suppose that $\gcd(n, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = d > 1$. Let $m = n/d$, and consider $S' = (\frac{x_1}{d})(\frac{x_2}{d})(\frac{x_3}{d})(\frac{x_4}{d})$ over $\mathbb{Z}/m$. It is easy to check that S' is a minimal zero-sum sequence. We will show that ind$(S') = 2$, so that Conjecture 1 fails for m. This contradicts the assumption that $n = md$ is the smallest integer for which Conjecture 1 fails.

By Remark 1, to prove ind$(S') = 2$ it suffices to show that for every $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/m)^*$, we have $\|S'\|_h = 2$. By Lemma 5 and the definition of $\|S'\|_h$, this is nothing but $\sum_i (h^{-1}\frac{x_i}{d})_m = 2m$. Note that $(h^{-1}\frac{x_i}{d})_m = (h^{-1}x_i)_n/d$, so it suffices to show that $\sum_i (h^{-1}x_i)_n/d = 2m$ or, equivalently, that $\sum_i (h^{-1}x_i)_n = 2n$. But this last assertion is guaranteed by Remark 1 and the assumption that ind$(S) = 2$.

It now follows that we have $\gcd(n, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1$, and thus $\gcd(n, x_i) = 1$ for all i by Theorem 2. This proves part (i).

(ii) Consider the sum

$$W := \sum_{\substack{0<g<n \\ (g,n)=1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} (gx_i)_n \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} (-1)^i (gx_i)_n \right). \tag{2}$$

By multiplying out the product, we see that

$$W = 2 \sum_{\substack{0<g<n \\ (g,n)=1}} \left((gx_2)_n(gx_4)_n - (gx_1)_n(gx_3)_n \right) + \sum_{\substack{0<g<n \\ (g,n)=1}} \left((gx_2)_n^2 + (gx_4)_n^2 - (gx_1)_n^2 - (gx_3)_n^2 \right).$$

Recall that $\gcd(n, x_i) = 1$ for all $i \in [1, 4]$. Therefore, for any $i, j \in [1, 4]$ and any integer k,

$$\sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} (gx_i)_n^k = \sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} (gx_j)_n^k. \tag{3}$$

Hence we see that

$$W = 2 \sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} \big((gx_2)_n(gx_4)_n - (gx_1)_n(gx_3)_n\big). \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{ind}(S) = 2$, by Remark 1 and Lemma 5 we have $\sum_{i=1}^4 (gx_i)_n = 2n$ for all g coprime to n. It then follows from equation (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} 2n\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^i (gx_i)_n\bigg) \\ &= 2n\bigg(\sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} \big((gx_2)_n + (gx_4)_n - (gx_1)_n - (gx_3)_n\big)\bigg), \end{aligned}$$

and, in view of (3), this is

$$W = 0.$$

Combining this with (4) we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} (gx_2)_n(gx_4)_n = \sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} (gx_1)_n(gx_3)_n, \tag{5}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} g(g\overline{x_2}x_4)_n = \sum_{\substack{0<g<n\\(g,n)=1}} g(g\overline{x_1}x_3)_n. \tag{6}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} t(h,k) &= \sum_{\substack{0<r<k\\(r,k)=1}} \frac{r}{k}\Big(\frac{hr}{k} - \Big\lfloor \frac{hr}{k} \Big\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0<r<k\\(r,k)=1}} \frac{r}{k}\Big(\frac{(hr)_k}{k} - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{\substack{0<r<k\\(r,k)=1}} r(hr)_k - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\substack{0<r<k\\(r,k)=1}} r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (6) we see that $t(\overline{x_2}x_4, n) = t(\overline{x_1}x_3, n)$, and equation (1) follows by the symmetry of x_i's. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

To prove Corollary 4 we require the following lemma on Dedekind sums.

Lemma 6. *Let k be a prime. If $s(h_1,k)=s(h_2,k)$, then we have $h_1\equiv h_2 \pmod k$ or $h_1\equiv \overline{h_2} \pmod k$.*

Proof. This is Corollary 1.2 in [7], but we sketch the proof for completeness. The equality $s(h_1,k)=s(h_2,k)$ implies that $12ks(h_1,k)\equiv 12ks(h_2,k) \pmod k$. From the well-known reciprocity law for Dedekind sums (see Chapter 3 of [1], for example), it is not hard to derive that $12ks(h,k)\equiv h+\overline{h} \pmod k$. The result then follows by a straightforward computation.

Proof of Corollary 4: Let $n=p$ be a prime, and let $S=(x_1)\cdots(x_4)$ be a minimal zero-sum sequence over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Suppose that $\mathrm{ind}(S)=2$. By Theorem 3 we have $t(\overline{x_2}x_4,p)=t(\overline{x_1}x_3,p)$, or equivalently, $s(\overline{x_2}x_4,p)=s(\overline{x_1}x_3,p)$.

Since $s(\overline{x_2}x_4,p)=s(\overline{x_1}x_3,p)$, by the above lemma we see that

$$\overline{x_2}x_4\equiv\overline{x_1}x_3 \pmod p \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{x_2}x_4\equiv\overline{x_3}x_1 \pmod p.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that $\overline{x_2}x_4\equiv\overline{x_1}x_3 \pmod p$. Then we have $x_1x_4\equiv x_2x_3 \pmod p$. It follows that

$$0\equiv x_1x_4-x_2x_3\equiv x_1x_4+x_2(x_1+x_2+x_4)=(x_2+x_1)(x_2+x_4) \pmod p.$$

Hence, we have $p\mid x_2+x_1$ or $p\mid x_2+x_4$, both of which contradicts the fact that S is a minimal zero-sum sequence over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. This completes our proof of Corollary 4. □

3. FURTHER DISCUSSION

An interesting and natural question about Dedekind sums is: for which h_1, h_2 and k do we have

$$s(h_1,k)=s(h_2,k)\ ?$$

(For example, this question arises in connection with the Heegaard Floer Homology [6].) A similar question is to determine when we have $t(h_1,k)=t(h_2,k)$. This is also interesting in view of Theorem 3. Unfortunately, for now we are unable to answer either of these two questions. (See also [7], [5] and [16].)

We end our note by the following remark. According to Theorem 3, a possible way to prove the index conjecture is to show that there exists at least one permutation σ of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ for which equation (1) does not hold. Note that for a given n and minimal zero-sum sequence $S=(x_1)(x_2)(x_3)(x_4)$ it is not necessarily the case that (1) will hold for *no* permutation of $\{1,2,3,4\}$. For example, if $n=25$, $x_1=18$, $x_2=4$, $x_3=2$ and $x_4=1$, then we have $\mathrm{ind}(S)=1$, so Conjecture 1 is true. However, $t(\overline{x_2}x_4,n)=t(\overline{x_1}x_3,n)$, but $t(\overline{x_2}x_1,n)\neq t(\overline{x_4}x_3,n)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professors Noam Elkies, Alfred Geroldinger, Steve Gonek and Gerry Myerson for helpful communications. Special thanks to Professor Steve Gonek for improving the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, second edition, (Graduate texts in mathematics; 41), Springer, New York, 2009.
[2] W. D. Gao, *Zero sums in finite cyclic groups*, Integers 0 (2000), Paper A14, 9p.
[3] A. Geroldinger, *On non-unique factorizations into irreducible elements. II*, Number Theory, Vol II Budapest 1987, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai, vol. 51, North Holland, 1990, 723-757.
[4] A. Geroldinger, *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory (A. Geroldinger and I. Ruzsa, eds.), Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Overlinecelona, Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 1 ÍC 86.
[5] K. Girstmair, *A criterion for the equality of Dedekind sums mod* $\mathbb{Z}$, International Journal of Number Theory 10 (2014), no. 3, 565-568.
[6] S. Jabuka, S. Robins and X. Wang, *Heegaard Floer Correction terms and Dedekind-Rademacher sums*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 1, 170-183.
[7] S. Jabuka, S. Robins and X. Wang, *When are two Dedekind sums equal?* International Journal of Number Theory 7 (2011), 2197-2202.
[8] D. Kleitman and P. Lemke, *An addition theorem on the integers modulo n*, J. Number Theory 31(1989), 335-345.
[9] Y. Li and J. Peng, *Minimal zero-sum sequences of length four over finite cyclic groups II*, International Journal of Number Theory 9 (2013), 845-866.
[10] Y. Li, C. Plyley, P. Yuan, and X. Zeng, *Minimal zero-sum sequences of length four over finite cyclic groups*, J. Number Theory, 130 (2010) 2033-2048.
[11] V. Ponomarenko, *Minimal zero sequences of finite cyclic groups*, Integers 4(2004), Paper A24, 6p.
[12] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald, *Dedekind Sums*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America, 1972.
[13] S. Savchen and F. Chen, *Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups*, Discrete Math. 307(2007), 2671-2679.
[14] C. Shen and L. Xia, *On the index-conjecture of length four minimal zero-sum sequences II*, International Journal of Number Theory: 2014, 10(3), 601-622.
[15] C. Shen, L. Xia and Y. Li, *On the index of length four minimal zero-sum sequences*, Colloquium Mathematicum: 2014, 135(2), 201-209.
[16] E. Tsukerman, *Equality of Dedekind sums modulo* $8\mathbb{Z}$, Acta Arith 170 (2015), no. 1, 67-72.
[17] L. Xia, *On the index-conjecture on length four minimal zero-sum sequences*, International Journal of Number Theory: 2013, 9(6), 1505-1528.
[18] L. Xia and C. Shen, *Minimal zero-sum sequences of length four over cyclic group with order* $n = p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}$, Journal of Number Theory 133 (2013) pp. 4047-4068.
[19] P. Yuan, *On the index of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A114(2007), 1545-1551.
[20] D. Zagier, *Higher dimensional Dedekind sums*, Math. Ann. (1973) 202, 149-172.

E-mail address: fan.ge@rochester.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY, UNITED STATES